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- it is published in many different places and I am trying to assemble all the material in one easily-accessible place; and
- some of it is just too good to let go.

A preface: Some readers might ask “why does he bother?” The short answer is that after I submitted my complaint to the HCCC in 2009, Meryl Dorey responded with a pack of lies accusing me of threatening violence. With the legal system the way it is, I came to the view that it is better to prove Meryl Dorey is an habitual liar, never to be believed. This is how I prove it.
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ANOTHER LITTLE INTRODUCTION:

In “Meryl Dorey’s Trouble With The Truth Part 1: How Meryl Dorey Lies, Obfuscates, Prevaricates, Exaggerates, Confabulates and Confuses in promoting her Anti-Vaccination Agenda”, I introduced Meryl Dorey as the leader of the so-called Australian Vaccination Network, (AVN), and showed that Dorey tells many lies. I used only a small sample of the huge number of her lies, and avoided matters which could be disputed as not simply lies, but might rather be paranoid delusions or wild exaggerations. In most cases, I displayed copies of what she had actually said, so that there was no disputing what she had said. I then compared each case with other documents of hers, or documents sourced from experts, to make an overwhelming case that she habitually lies to promote her anti-vaccination agenda.

This time, I go further than simple lies, and venture into Dorey’s world of bizarre conspiracy theories and wild hyperbole that she uses to denigrate vaccines and the medical profession. I also add a few more lies that have come to light since Part 1 was published.

The difficulty I have here is that sometimes it is difficult to tell a lie from a bizarre conspiracy theory or paranoid delusion or wild hyperbole. To overcome that difficulty, I have used the generic term “item.” At the end of each section describing the “item”, I then suggest a category, but it is up to the reader to make his or her own evaluation.

But before we begin, consider this post from Meryl Dorey:

Why Swine Flu will be so virulent!

Yes, I agree! While we are already seen as rabid, idiotic fringe-dwellers by so many in the mainstream, it does our argument no good at all to bring in conspiracy theories which, though we may subscribe to them, are unprovable.

We have mountains, acres and incredible numbers of medical journal articles that prove our case - why weaken it by bringing up something that will turn 99.9% of the population off of what we are saying? Stick with the facts and our cases will be strong.

All the best,
Meryl
That’s right. She says:

“While we are already seen as rabid, idiotic fringe-dwellers by so many in the mainstream, it does our argument no good at all to bring in conspiracy theories which, though we may subscribe to them, are unprovable.

“We have mountains, acres, and incredible numbers of medical journal articles that prove our case- why weaken it by bringing up something that will turn 99.9% of the population off what we are saying? Stick with the facts and our cases will be strong.

All the best,

Meryl”

Wow! How do we evaluate this?

Firstly we have evasion- don’t bring in lunatic conspiracy theories even if we do believe in them.

Next we have dishonesty – we will pretend to be rational so we don’t alienate people.

Next we have exaggeration, dishonesty, and misrepresentation - the AVN does not have mountains, acres and incredible numbers of medical journal articles. What they do have, as shown in Part 1 and here are mountains of lies and bizarre conspiracy theories taken from delusional websites such as whale.to.

Now let us proceed again into the murky world of Meryl Dorey’s Trouble With the Truth.

ITEM 1 – “LIVING WISDOM” SUBSCRIPTIONS

Meryl Dorey advertises subscriptions to "Living Wisdom"; 6 issues over one year. Subscriptions start at $75 per year for the print version. Yet last year, 2010, there were only 2 issues and the last was in July 2010. So where are they?

As usual, anyone who supports the AVN gets done over, - this time it's the advertisers and subscribers. Is advertising a product and service that cannot be provided regarded as "fraud"?

Evaluation: Somewhere between “incompetence” and “lie.”

---

1 Yahoo! AVN Discussion Group Message #38725 From: Meryl Dorey meryl@.. Mon Jul 27, 2009 12:48 am Subject: Re: Why Swine Flu will be so virulent!

2 See Attachment 1, printout from the AVN website.
ITEM 2 – SUBSCRIPTION TO “ADVERSE DRUG REACTIONS BULLETIN”

On 21 December 2011, in her Facebook page “Australian Vaccination Network” Meryl Dorey claimed to subscribe to the “Adverse Drugs Reactions Bulletin.” This magazine’s last issue was December 2009. It was replaced by the “Medicines Safety Update”.

So Meryl Dorey, who claims to be Australia’s Leading Expert on Vaccination, claimed to subscribe to a magazine that ceased production a year before she made the claim.  

Evaluation: Lie.

ITEM 3 - ILLEGAL ACCESS TO PERSONAL MEDICAL FILES

In a post to her Facebook page, Meryl Dorey said in defence of Andrew Wakefield “Deer (the investigative journalist) was illegally given access to exact details of these parents and their children – nobody knows exactly how.........”

Of course everybody knows exactly how Deer was “given access to exact details of these parents and their children”: and it was not illegal. As the British Medical Journal editorial which exposed Wakefield’s deceit makes clear, Deer was “drawing on interviews, documents, and data made public at the GMC hearings.......”  

Evaluation: Lie.

ITEM 4 – SUPPORTING ANDREW WAKEFIELD

In an article in the Lismore Northern Star entitled “Dorey backs fraud medico” she says she “support(s) Andrew Wakefield 100%... I applaud his integrity, ethics and desire to help children nobody else will.” (Andrew Wakefield was the co-author of a paper published in “The Lancet”, subsequently retracted, and who was deregistered by the UK General Medical Council for unethical behaviour.) Compare this to her radio interview with the Two Murrays when she was asked if she still supported Wakefield as recent as 13 July 2010, she had trouble answering that question.

2Murrays: You’d know the name of a guy called Andrew Wakefield

---

3 See http://www.tga.gov.au/adr/aadrb/aadr0912.htm#a1
4 http://www.bmj.com/content/342/bmj.c7452.full
MD: I do know Andrew Wakefield.

2Murrays: Do you guys still support him?

MD: We support any research....

2Murrays: No, no, no, no. Do you still support him?

MD: Do I support him? I don’t give him any money of that’s what you mean.

2Murrays: No but verbally, aw, you support him? (his ideas) Because he was struck off the UK medical register.

MD: We know many cases where people have been struck off because they didn’t agree with the medical community...

**Evaluation:** prevarication and evasion

**ITEM 5 – PUBLIC APPEALS FOR DONATIONS**

In an email issued on Thursday, 3 February 2011 3:56 PM claimed that:

“....an automatic notice has been sent out for many years informing our readers about the organisation and why they should consider supporting us. This notice was written many years ago – well before the OLGR’s decision to revoke our fundraising authority, and I was unaware until recently that it was still being sent.”

So for many years she issued a stream of emails asking people to support the AVN with donations and by joining, and it was only after the Office of Liquor Gaming and Racing contacted her to inform her that this was illegal that she noticed.

**Evaluation:** Bollocks

**ITEM 6 – I DO NOT DIAGNOSE**

In her first response to the Health Care Complaints Commission dated 7 September 2009, Meryl Dorey said on the ninth page:

“Neither the AVN nor myself provide any of the above services. We are not employed in community health, we do not teach or provide any other educational services nor do we work in the fields of alternative health or health care. We do not administer medications, diagnose, or provide medical advice.”

Now read this post from Meryl Dorey:
If that’s not making a diagnosis, what is? If that’s not providing medical advice, what is? And if recommending homeopathic remedies for a deadly disease is not irresponsible, what is?

**Evaluation:** Lie.

**ITEM 7 - CHEMOTHERAPY SURVIVAL RATE**

Meryl Dorey made this post on the AVN Facebook page:

"Since the 5 year survival rate for chemo is only 2.5%, perhaps it is a good idea for people who do get diagnosed with cancer to look into what alternatives are available. I can’t imagine any therapy having such a huge failure rate and yet still being supported - people just don’t know. MD"

She then posted a link to an article on Natural News as evidence.  

However a reading of the article does not say that. It does not say that there is a 2.5% survival rate of chemotherapy; it is saying that chemo has contributed to the survival rate by 2.5 percentage points.

This was pointed out by Marge England who said "That report doesn't say the survival rate is only 2.5% It says chemo adds to survival by 2.5%. You (sic) post saying it has a huge failure

---

rate is incorrect. You have misunderstood the data.” Dorey did not make a correction, and went on to make other posts.

**Evaluation:** Probably a lie, but it may have been incompetence. However, the refusal to make a correction after she was told her post was incorrect is wilful dishonesty.

**ITEM 8 – NOT ANTI-VACCINATION**

Meryl Dorey has claimed many times that she is not anti-vaccination; hear her denial on Radio 2UE on 13 July 2010.  

And in an editorial in the magazine “Vaccination” she wrote:

"As you will no doubt read in this edition, the AVN’s efforts in the MMR campaign have been incredibly successful. The government, who had planned to vaccinate and revaccinate 95% of Australian children between August and November 1998, only ended up with a 74% vaccination rate. Dr. Wooldridge stated publicly that 94% were vaccinated but this is not true. 94% of those whose parents gave permission for the vaccination to take place received the vaccine. Only 74% of the total students were vaccinated, however, and since all parents received the consent forms and had to send them back to the school, the government can no longer claim that this is a matter of complacency, ignorance or lack of care. This was free choice in action and informed choice at that. I don't know what the vaccination rate in this campaign would have been without the efforts of the AVN and its membership -- but I do feel that it would have been a whole lot closer to what the government wanted than what they actually ended up getting!" 

So she was boasting here of her success in bringing vaccination rates down. This is anti-vaccination by any definition.

**Evaluation:** Lie.

---


10
ITEM 9 - THE DEATH OF NATALIE MORTON

On her blog on Wednesday, September 30, 2009 Dorey started with the headline:

“Yet another victim of HPV Vaccines” and went on to say:

“When will we say enough is enough? When will we declare that our precious girls are not to be used as fodder for the pharmaceutical company's greed? Natalie Morton should not have died. This vaccine should never be given to anyone until it is proven that (a) HPV is related to cervical cancer and (b) the use of AS04 adjuvants is safe for use in humans.”

Meryl Dorey got it wrong, and exploited this child’s death in pursuit of her crusade against vaccination. If she had waited for the pathologist’s report Dorey would have learned that Natalie Morton died of a large tumour in her chest and the vaccine had nothing to do with it.

The Guardian Newspaper of the UK got its facts right:

---

11 http://nocompulsoryvaccination.blogspot.com
The Guardian newspaper says:

“The teenager whose death sparked a national alert over cervical cancer inoculations had a malignant tumour in her chest, an inquest heard yesterday.

Natalie Morton died in Coventry on Monday, two hours after being given a vaccination at the Blue Coat Church of England school.

Immediate suspicion focused on the jab. The inoculation programme around the country was disrupted as health authorities checked stocks and removed the batch involved.

But the deputy coroner in Coventry, Louise Hunt, told Natalie's parents yesterday that the medical evidence suggested the vaccine was not a contributory factor in her death.

Opening and adjourning the inquest at Coventry magistrate’s court, she said: “It appears that Natalie died from a tumour in her chest involving her heart and her lungs.” The condition, it is understood, had become progressively disabling.

The inquest heard that the tumour had "heavily infiltrated" her heart and extended into her left lung. The Home Office pathologist, Alexander Kolar, explained to the hearing that Natalie's condition was "so severe that death could have arisen at any
point". He gave the official preliminary cause of death as tumour of the chest, type to be diagnosed.”

Earlier this week, the Department of Health said that as a "purely precautionary measure" it had asked the NHS to quarantine all stocks of HPV vaccine from the batch related to the Coventry case.

"The HPV vaccination programme can continue as planned – there is no reason for the campaign to be suspended or interrupted," the statement added.”

When the facts got through to Dorey, did she admit she was wrong and had been premature? No. She then launched into a conspiracy theory; it’s a cover-up!

For those without magnifying glasses, Meryl said:

“Natalie Morton’s death-convenient pre-existing condition or vaccine cover-up

“The news is everywhere this morning. Natalie Morton, the 14 year old girl who died tragically such a short time after her Cervarix (HPV) vaccine, has had an incredibly quick autopsy done and the doctors have found a massive tumour on her heart and lungs. It was only a matter of time, really, before this poor child passed away and it was just a total coincidence that it happened immediately after receiving a vaccine. A vaccine that has been involved in other sudden deaths in which the pathology reports have returned no cause of death. The difference is, with the other girls, their deaths did not make front-page news nor were they used to halt - even temporarily - a nationwide vaccination campaign.” And so on.
She then challenges us:

“Perhaps there are some cardiologists on this list who might want to answer the following question.

“How likely is it that a 14 year old girl can have a massive heart / lung tumour of the type which the coroners claim she had - and still be totally asymptomatic?”

In response, here is example of a tumour that can be asymptomatic.

According to “Cancer Principles and Practices of Oncology’, (J P Lippincott & Co)

“Teratomas frequently occur in young adults. Most patients with teratomas are asymptomatic. Those with symptoms have them due to the size of the tumour. They have been recorded to reach 30cm in diameter.”

As is said in “Cancer and the Heart”, (Michael S Ewer Edward Yeh),

“These tumours occur primarily in children and are more common in females.” “The child can be completely asymptomatic or have symptoms associated with pericardial effusion and / or compression of lungs, cardiac chambers or blood vessels by the tumour. The tumours are associated with a high risk of sudden death, which is seen in these tumours.”

Meryl Dorey, who by her own admission has no qualifications or experience, does not know that there are many different types of cancer or benign tumours that can arise in the chest cavity or mediastinum, but immediately tried to persuade her audience that it was the HPV vaccine and a cover-up conspiracy.

**Evaluation:** Lies, incompetence, ignorance, and bizarre conspiracy theory.

**ITEM 10 - MICROCHIPS IN THE FLU VACCINE.**

On her Blog, [12] Meryl Dorey wrote

“**Flu is not the Biggest Danger it’s the Vaccine**

“These are challenging times and we need to stay calm and think things through – not just panic and react. Fear, panic and emotional reaction got us into this mess and it is certainly not going to get us out of it.

“We also need to realise – here, now – that we have long crossed the line into a fully-fledged fascist dictatorship. It has hidden itself to most people this far, but it is about

“It is no longer an option to do nothing or passively acquiesce to authority out of fear or apathy. Or, at least, it’s not if we care about our freedoms and, most importantly, those of our children and grandchildren who will have to live almost their entire lives under a global jackboot of sheer, undiluted evil.

“The word ‘evil’ is much overused and I don’t say it lightly; but we are dealing with evil in the sense that the word is the reverse of ‘live’. Those behind the conspiracy to cull the human population and turn the rest into little more than computer terminals are anti-life. They have no respect for it and no empathy with those who suffer the consequences of their actions, no matter how appalling.

“Here is a link to read more.”

Dorey also sent this out on Twitter:
In both cases the reader was then led to the following:

“Pakistan Daily: The Pakistan News Agency July 26, 2009”

Flu is not the Biggest Danger it’s the Vaccine

These are challenging times and we need to stay calm and think through – not just panic and react. Fear, panic and emotional reaction got us into this mess and it is certainly not going to get us out of it.

We also need to realise – here, now – that we have long crossed the line into a fully-fledged fascist dictatorship. It has hidden itself to most people this far, but it is about to lift the veil.

It is no longer an option to do nothing or passively acquiesce to authority out of fear or apathy. Or, at least, it’s not if we care about our freedoms and, most importantly, those of our children and grandchildren who will have to live almost their entire lives under a global jackboot of sheer, undiluted evil.

The word ‘evil’ is much overused and I don’t say it lightly, but we are dealing with evil in the sense that the word is the reverse of ‘live’. Those behind the conspiracy to cull the human population and turn the rest into little more than computer terminals are anti-life. They have no respect for it and no empathy with those who suffer the consequences of their actions, no matter how appalling.

I have been warning of what was coming for nearly 20 years and it is not ‘coming’ any more – it’s here. No more excuses from anyone. We have to deal with it. We have to draw a line in the sand and say no more.

Never was this more important than with the conspiracy to force swine flu vaccination upon the global population. The swine flu scare was generated in order to generate mass compliance with the speed injection of foreign genes into our bodies.

“Flu is not the Biggest Danger it’s the Vaccine”

It was a long article, so I have just extracted the most interesting passages such as:

“We also need to realise – here, now – that we have long crossed the line into a fully-fledged fascist dictatorship. “

http://www.daily.pk/flu-is-not-the-biggest-danger-its-the-vaccine-7471/#more-7471
“Those behind the conspiracy to cull the human population and turn the rest into little more than computer terminals are anti-life.”

“Never was this more important than with the conspiracy to force swine flu vaccination upon the global population. The swine flu virus was created in a laboratory to generate mass panic with the specific intention of forcing everyone to have the vaccine.”

“There is evidence that an international corporate criminal syndicate, which has annexed high government office at Federal and State level, is intent on carrying out a mass genocide against the people of the United States by using an artificial (genetic) flu pandemic virus and forced vaccine program to cause mass death and injury and depopulate America in order to transfer control of the United States to the United Nations and affiliated security forces (UN troops from countries such as China, Canada, the UK and Mexico).”

“There is proof many organisations – World Health Organisation, UN as well as vaccine companies such as Baxter and Novartis – are part of a single system under the control of a core criminal group, who give the strategic leadership, and who have also funded the development, manufacturing and release of artificial viruses in order to justify mass vaccinations with a bioweapon substance in order to eliminate the people of the USA, and so gain control of the assets, resources etc of North America.”

“A cabal of interbreeding families is seeking to impose a global fascist dictatorship of total human control.”

“Those on the inner levels of this structure are collectively known as the ‘Illuminati’.”

“This is how they coordinate between apparently unconnected governments, corporations, media groups etc. The Rothschild and Rockefeller dynasties (the same bloodline) are fundamentally involved in this, as I have long exposed, and they dominate pharmaceutical medicine and government ‘health’ policy worldwide.”

“The web controls governments, the pharmaceutical industry, or ‘Big Pharma’, the World Health Organisation and public heath ‘protection’ agencies like the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in the United States. In short, they control the entire medical system.”

“The Illuminati cabal established global bodies like the World Health Organisation, World Bank and World Trade Organisation to transfer power from the many to the few. Their goal is a world government, world central bank, world currency and world army.”
“The Illuminati plan for the world includes a mass cull of the population and the microchipping of every man, woman and child. Microchips would allow everyone to be tracked 24/7, but it goes much further than that.”

“Computer technology communicating with the chips has the potential to manipulate people mentally, emotionally and physically. This could be done en masse or individually through the chip’s unique transmitter-receiver signal. Killing someone from a distance would be a synch.” (sic).

The reader of Meryl Dorey’s and the Pakistan News web pages were not told, but the article above was written by David Icke and appears on his webpage. (Dorey did not use quotation marks or give attribution to the author; as far as an innocent bystander would be concerned, she was the author). ¹⁴

David Vaughan Icke is a British writer and public speaker who has devoted himself since 1990 to researching "who and what is really controlling the world." He is the author of 20 books.

At the heart of Icke's theories is the view that the world is ruled by a secret group called the "Global Elite" or "Illuminati," which have been linked to The Protocols of the Elders of Zion, which has been proved to be an anti-Semitic hoax although he believes the document is not fictional. In 1999, he published The Biggest Secret, in which he wrote that the Illuminati are a race of shapeshifting reptilian humanoids known as the Babylonian Brotherhood, and that many prominent figures are reptilian, including George W. Bush, Queen Elizabeth II, Kris Kristofferson, and Country & Western singer Boxcar Willie. These extraterrestrial reptilians are supposedly from the constellation Draco. They walk erect and appear to be human, living not only on the planets they come from, but also in caverns and tunnels under the Earth. They have cross-bred with humans, which has created "hybrids" who are "possessed" by the full-blooded reptilians. The reptiles' hybrid reptilian-human DNA allows them to change from reptilian to human form if they consume human blood.

So that's why Meryl recommends we avoid the swine flu vaccine; it is part of a plot run by the Illuminati and shapeshifting humanoid reptilian alien overlords to take over the world and exterminate all humans.

**Evaluation:** Bizarre conspiracy theory verging on paranoid delusion.

**ITEM 11 - IMMUNOCONTRACEPTIVE HIDDEN IN THE FLU VACCINE**

In her newsletter of Thursday, 24 September 2009 12:27 PM, Meryl Dorey warned of hidden contraceptives in the flu vaccine. According to her the flu vaccine can not only cause pregnant women to lose their unborn child, they can also cause sterility. She said that this video is “A must see - please forward to others as well.”

¹⁴ [http://davidicke.com/content/view/25191](http://davidicke.com/content/view/25191)
The link takes you to [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R005vkMm1k1s](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R005vkMm1k1s), where we learn of the “Global Illuminati’s Ten Commandments” such as:

“AVOID PETTY LAWS AND USELESS OFFICIALS.”

Nothing wrong with “avoiding petty laws and useless officials” you might say, but read on.

Next we are told to maintain world population at under 500 million;
“MAINTAIN HUMANITY UNDER 500,000,000 IN PERPETUAL BALANCE WITH NATURE.”

In case you missed the point, a learned gentleman Dr Ayoub, goes on to explain the DNA replication involved in creating the vaccine and how you will be sterilised, and “you would be unable to have children forever!”

And who is started this?

Henry Kissinger, that’s who.
And the evidence for this? A policy document authored 35 years ago by Dr Henry Kissinger, the “National Security Study Memoranda, NSSM 200, Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for US Security and Overseas Interests”. That document is exactly what the title says, no more, with recommendations that better contraception be developed. To draw conclusions that it calls for population culling via an “immunocontraceptive hidden in the flu vaccine” as is the title of the video, is just insane.

**Evaluation:** Barking mad.
ITEM 12 - CONSPIRACY THEORIES.

On her blog "No Compulsory Vaccination" Monday, May 4, 2009 Dorey says: "I never said that there was a conspiracy -"

I can find no use by Dorey of that word exactly, but her writings are riddled with conspiracy theories, in which she attempts to blame nearly all misfortunes on Governments, Big Pharma, vaccines, and so on. The three items immediately above show that her statement that she never said there was a conspiracy is a downright lie.

Evaluation: lie.

ITEM 13 – VACCINATION IS RAPE

Meryl Dorey, who as you may recall, claims that she is not anti-vaccine, wrote that a Court-ordered vaccination was “rape of a child,” “assault without consent and with full penetration too,” a “crime.”

(This flowed from a Family Court judgement on a dispute between parents, one of whom wanted their child vaccinated, the other was opposed.)

Evaluation: bizarre hysterical exaggeration and delusion.
ITEM 14 – MORE OF THOSE INJECTABLE MICROCHIPS

Now this one really takes the cake. Several years ago, the then Australian Government floated the idea of a non-compulsory identity card which would facilitate citizens’ dealings with government; for social security, Medicare, etc. Along with other cards such as credit cards, library cards, and so on these days, the Australia Card, as it was to be known, would have imbedded in it a chip which recorded basic data. Meryl Dorey’s response to this is at Attachment 1 (Page 60); a full-blown hysterical tirade which includes the paragraph:

“To me, the scariest thing about the health smart card, is that it is only the beginning. The next and most logical step is the use of microchips which will contain all of the same information contained on smart cards but which will be injected into us and read and updated from a distance.”

There’s those injectable microchips again! Eventually, the government lost interest and the Australia Card never happened. What remains are gems like this to be found on the Internet. There are also some demonstrably wrong claims in the document, such as:

“... how are these chips being put into the family dog or cat? Why, through their vaccines, of course…”

Wrong! The vaccines and microchips are injected into domestic animals via separate devices.

Evaluation: pure hysterical conspiracy theory and paranoid delusion.
ITEM 15 - THE NIGERIAN POLIO VACCINE

Meryl Dorey on her blog “No Compulsory Vaccination” posted the headlines: “Polio surge in Nigeria after vaccine virus mutates - Yahoo! News Polio surge in Nigeria after vaccine virus mutates - Yahoo! News.” She linked it to an AP article.  

Indeed, that is what the headlines and the article say, and it goes on to explain that:

“Nigeria and most other poor nations use an oral polio vaccine because it’s cheaper, easier, and protects entire communities.

“But it is made from a live polio virus — albeit weakened — which carries a small risk of causing polio for every million or so doses given. In even rarer instances, the virus in the vaccine can mutate into a deadlier version that ignites new outbreaks..........”

Yes, that is a well-understood phenomenon, but the entire meaning of the article is that the resurgence in polio in Nigeria is caused not by the use of an inferior vaccine, but is caused by a failure to vaccinate a sufficiently large percentage of the population. It says that in the paragraph towards the end of the article:

“Though Nigeria's coverage rates have improved, up to 15 percent of children in the north still haven't been vaccinated against polio. To eradicate the disease, officials need to reach about 95 percent of the population.”

And whose fault is that officials have failed to vaccinate over 95% of the population?

Not the vaccine, or the aid workers and Rotarians who run the program, but it is the fault of the “vaccine deniers”!!!!

**Evaluation:** Her attempt to denigrate the polio vaccine by showing only part of the story is dishonest.

**ITEM 16 - PUBLIC APPEAL FOR DONATIONS**

In Attachment 2 (Page 64), a post on the AVN Facebook page, Meryl Dorey made a public appeal for donations, while attempting to circumvent the law by asking donors to send the donations through third parties.

The highlighted paragraph says:

"If someone knows of a current financial member and they want to give a donation via that member - I don’t see that there is anything illegal about that - but I don’t want to know about it - as long as the donation comes from a current member, then we are covered :-)"

This was after the Office of Liquor Gaming and Racing informed her in their letter of 14 October 2010, that "the Organisation is no longer able to conduct fund raising appeals in New South Wales for charitable purposes" and "Any person who conducts a fundraising appeal without an authority is guilty of an offence and liable to a penalty not exceeding $5,500.”

The Charitable Fundraising Act includes in its definitions:

"Meaning of "conducting" or "participating in" a fundraising appeal

(1) For the purposes of this Act, a person conducts a fundraising appeal if the person organises the appeal, whether alone or with others, whether in person or by an agent or employee and whether on the person's own behalf or as an officer or member of the governing body of an organisation.

(2) For the purposes of this Act, a person participates in a fundraising appeal if the person solicits or receives any money, property or other benefit in the course of the appeal, or assists in organising the appeal"

So the use of third parties will not save Meryl Dorey.

**Evaluation:** Dishonesty with a large dose of stupidity.

**ITEM 17 - THE WALL STREET STOCKBOKER.**

In the Lismore Northern Star Weekend edition, September 18 2010, page 39, Meryl Dorey:

“....describes her career as a Wall Street broker that ended with the loss of her job in the 1987 stock market crash.”

I checked with the US regulatory authority handling stockbroker licencing, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), the independent regulator for all securities firms doing business in the United States. Based on their response, I sent the following email to Meryl Dorey on 27 March 2011:

“Dear Mrs. Dorey

“In the Lismore Northern Star Weekend edition, September 18 2010, page 39, you describe your ‘career as a Wall Street broker that ended with the loss of her job in the 1987 stock market crash.’ I checked with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), the independent regulator for all securities firms doing business in the United States. They have no record of you having held a stockbroker’s licence, in your maiden name Sokolovsky.

“FINRA have also informed me that a stockbroker is required to pass the FinRA General Securities Representative Exam, (commonly referred to as the Series 7 Exam), to become a Registered Representative of a broker-dealer in the United States. Would you mind informing me if you sat for that exam and the result please.

“I would appreciate you providing me with any documentary evidence supporting your claim to have been a stockbroker, more properly called a “Securities Representative” in the USA.

“yours sincerely “ etc

I never received a response. There is no documentation available in New York State that substantiated her claim.

**Evaluation:** Lie, or maybe a delusion?
ITEM 18 - THE NON–PROFIT VOLUNTEER-RUN ORGANISATION

AVN Media Release 22 March 2011.

About Australian Vaccination Network, Inc.

The slightly blurry screencap says:

“The AVN is a non-profit, volunteer-run charitable association. Since 1994, the AVN has provided information and support to the general community who are trying to make informed choices about vaccination and health. Their lobbying in Federal Parliament has ensured that compulsory vaccination for children has not come to pass and they are the major reporters of vaccine adverse reactions to ADRAC (The Adverse Drug Reactions Advisory Committee).”

Meryl Dorey has broken her record for the most lies in one paragraph, in this Media Release.

1. The AVN is NOT a volunteer-run charitable association. According to their Annual Financial Statements, wages are paid. According to the AVN’s Annual Financial Statements, as submitted to the NSW Office of Fair Trading, the following payments were made:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/Year</th>
<th>2009 $</th>
<th>2008 $</th>
<th>2007 $</th>
<th>2006 $</th>
<th>2005 $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee benefits expenses</td>
<td>54,757</td>
<td>44,998</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>46050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments to suppliers and employees</td>
<td>282,050</td>
<td>287,989</td>
<td>42717 *</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superannuation payable</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>4012</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holiday pay</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>1593</td>
<td>2573</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>5193</td>
<td>51031</td>
<td>3750 #</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17 Stored at http://www.scribd.com/ken_mcleod
No doubt, there is some volunteer component involved in running the AVN, but there is also a large salaried component.

2. Since 1994, the AVN has provided MIS - information about vaccination and health.

3. They are NOT the major reporters of vaccine adverse reactions to ADRAC (The Adverse Drug Reactions Advisory Committee).

4. The AVN is not a “charity”. See the Oxford Dictionary definition of “charity”; ¹⁸

   noun (plural charities)

   1 an organization set up to provide help and raise money for those in need.

   [mass noun] the body of organizations viewed collectively as the object of fundraising or of donations: the proceeds of the sale will go to charity

   2 [mass noun] the voluntary giving of help, typically in the form of money, to those in need.

   help or money given to those in need: an unemployed teacher living on charity

   3 [mass noun] kindness and tolerance in judging others: she found it hard to look on her mother with much charity

   Archaic; love of humankind, typically in a Christian context: faith, hope, and charity.

I have to admit that one part of the claim is true; the AVN is definitely non-profit. They have made some appalling losses over the years.

Evaluation: lie, lie, lie, lie.

¹⁸ http://oxforddictionaries.com/view/entry/m_en_gb0138680#m_en_gb0138680
ITEM 19 - THE FUTURE OF HOMEOPATHY

In the online community forum, “Homeopathy World Community” Meryl Dorey was asked:

“What is your vision for the future of homeopathy

She replied: “I would love to see us go back to the time, about 80-90 years ago, when homeopathy (sic) was an accepted practice, was used in hospitals and was looked upon as a front-line treatment for many dieases.” (sic). Note that the spelling errors are Dorey’s and she sees the future of homeopathy being in the past.

What is your vision for the future of homeopathy
I would love to see us go back to the time, about 80-90 years ago, when homeopathy was an accepted practice, was used in hospitals and was looked upon as a front-line treatment for many dieases.

A quick check of life expectancy in Australian 90 years ago reveals some gloomy statistics:
- Children faced a 9.8% chance of dying before aged 10.
- People faced a 14.4% chance of dying before aged 30.

Today those percentages are 0.55% and 1.4% respectively. Would any rational person really want to go back to those figures?

Evaluation: Considering the great advances medical science and technology have made in the last 90 years, and the continued failure of homeopathy to demonstrate any benefit in any placebo-controlled double-blind peer-reviewed research, this is pure insanity.

ITEM 20 - IF THERE WAS A NOBEL PRIZE FOR ARROGANCE.....

In 2009, a baby died of Pertussis (Whooping Cough) in a small community near the headquarters of Meryl Dorey’s organisation, the so-called “Australian Vaccination Network”. With the permission of the family, this was used by the NSW Dept of Health to alert parents to the danger of Pertussis and the need for immunisation. Dorey then phoned the CEO of the Area Health Service on 12 March 2009 demanding all details of the baby’s illness, the diagnostic procedures used, and death. Furthermore she insisted that he had deliberately deceived the public in attributing the baby’s daughter’s death to pertussis.

So Meryl Dorey:

20 http://www.wolframalpha.com/input/?i=average+life+expectancy+1920,+Australia
21 Email Tuesday, 19 May 2009 5:24 PM.
1. Behaved as if Australia’s and the Health Departments’ privacy laws did not apply to her;

2. Thought she knew more than the doctors and pathology labs performing the diagnosis, which is really something when you remember that Dorey has absolutely no qualifications or experience in any health profession, or anything else;

3. Accused the Area Health Service’s CEO of engaging in deception, a cover-up and conspiracy.

**Evaluation:** Arrogance bordering on insanity.

---

**ITEM 21 - A WHOLE BUNCH OF LIES AND IDIOCY**

At a Web Seminar “*Death Threats and Suppression of Vaccine Truth in Australia*” on 2 December 2010 Meryl Dorey displayed the following slide:

Let us examine a few of these allegations against the HCCC, and respond:

**1... Dorey’s allegation** that the HCCC “*Ignored all information provided by myself (Dorey) in replies to their ‘investigation’*”........

Response: The HCCC did not ignore her information. They evaluated it, as shown in their Report, and rejected most of it. One of their rejections centred on Dorey’s citations from the website [www.whale.to](http://www.whale.to), which is described in the following sub-section.
2... **Dorey’s allegation** that the HCCC “...never informed me of this (second complaint) and, in contravention to their own rules, never informed me of this for over 2 months and then, refused to let me see the complaint but required me to respond to it.” ...........

Response: The Health Care Complaints Act 1993 Division 3 S16 does allow the Commission to withhold these details.

“(4) This section does not require the Commission to give notice under this section if it appears to the Commission, on reasonable grounds, that the giving of the notice will or is likely to:

(a) prejudice the investigation of the complaint, or
(b) place the health or safety of a client at risk, or
(c) place the complainant or another person at risk of intimidation or harassment.”

The Commission gave Dorey the entirety of the allegations and asked her to respond, that is sufficient, surely.

I notice a little bit of hypocrisy creeping into Dorey’s complaints. While she complains that the HCCC supposedly refused to let her see the complaint, in her first response to the Commission, she insisted that her response must be withheld from me.

3... **Dorey’s allegation** that the HCCC “Was advised by barristers and lawyers that they were unable to investigate the AVN or myself due to lack of jurisdiction – ignored this advice.” ...........

Response: The Commission did not ignore those submissions; they sought their own legal advice. That advice was that the AVN was subject to the Act, but led to Dorey herself escaping sanction. It is all there beginning on page 25 of the HCCC’s Report, in the Section titled “Application of the Code of Conduct for Unregistered Health Practitioners”.

4... **Dorey’s allegation** that the HCCC “Deemed that the AVN provided ‘deceptive and misleading’ information because we only show one side despite the fact that the government and medical community likewise only show one side.”......

Response: This was addressed by the Commission in their Report, on page 22, in the paragraph:

“While Ms Dorey has offered a justification for the AVN presenting anti-vaccination material this is not a cogent reason for failing to clearly and frankly indicate its anti-vaccination position. If the AVN genuinely wants to empower people to make informed choices concerning vaccine use and education about risks, adverse reactions, and contraindications for vaccination, it should either present balanced

and reliable information or clearly and openly articulate its stance against vaccination.”

In other words, Dorey is not entitled to lie, exaggerate, and obfuscate in order to correct some perceived imbalance. And in passing, the Government and medical community do not limit themselves to showing “only one side.” For example, the Commonwealth Government website “Immunise Australia Program” has a section “What are the side-effects of immunisation?” And the pharmaceutical companies are required by the TGA to include side-effects and contraindications in the product brochure inserts, and these are repeated in MIMS.

5... Finally, let us analyse Dorey’s allegation that the HCCC is corrupt.

Response: “Corruption” is defined by the Cambridge Dictionary as “illegal, bad or dishonest behaviour, especially by people in positions of power.”

The Macquarie Dictionary defines “Corruption” as:

“noun 1. the act of corrupting.

2. the state of being corrupt.

3. moral perversion; depravity.

4. perversion of integrity.

5. corrupt or dishonest proceedings.

6. bribery.

7. debasement, as of a language.

8. a debased form of a word.

9. putrefactive decay.

10. any corrupting influence or agency.”

Now that we have seen that Dorey has entirely failed to substantiate her allegations, we can see that her headline assertion that the HCCC is corrupt is baseless.

Evaluation: lie, lie, hypocrisy, lie, lie, lie.

---

ITEM 22 – THE WEBSITE WWW.WHALE.TO
In this post on her AVN Facebook page, on Sunday 27 March 2011, Meryl Dorey made the following post praising the virtues of the website www.whale.to.

How on Earth can any sane person vouch for the reliability of Whale.to? This is the conspiracy theory website par excellence. It is a notorious dumping-ground for all things pseudoscientific. It contains every half-baked pseudoscientific theory ever concocted. It is littered with Illuminati mind control plots, Holocaust revisionism, AIDS-denialism, chemtrails, orgonite$^{24}$, shapeshifting humanoid reptilian aliens, “Removing Implants through Prayer and Intention,” “The Protocols Of The Elders Of Zion,” “Microchip Implants and Mind Control,” (what is it about those microchip implants that gets these people so excited?), the “Church of Satan” which is really the CIA, and so on.

In Academia, they have a Law of Logic known as Scopie’s Law. $^{25}$ To wit, "In any argument about Science or Medicine, citing whale.to as a credible resource loses you the argument immediately - and gets you laughed out of the forum."

Whale.to also recommends the Australian Vaccination Network, so enough said.

Evaluation: insanity.

ITEM 23 - THE DRACONIAN HEALTH POLICY
In this post on her AVN Facebook page on 29 March 2011, Meryl Dorey refers to a new “draconian” Health Policy that is supposed to say that medical and nursing students must vaccinate before they get their degree and a job.

$^{24}$ A mixture of metal shavings and fibreglass resin, which generates orgone energy (possibly the same or similar to life force energy, chi, or prana), and which will also destroy Deadly Orgone Radiation, (DOR). DOR, as you will recall, is emitted by cell phone towers, which is their main purpose.

$^{25}$ http://rationalwiki.org/wiki/Scopie%27s_Law
This was followed by an email to her fan club where she made a similar allegation that nurses would be sacked if they did not accept the full immunisation regime.

Firstly, it is not the universities who require that students are vaccinated. That, in this State, is a requirement of the NSW Department of Health, published in its Policy Statement on "Occupational Assessment, Screening and Vaccination Against Specified Infectious Diseases."  

The Policy begins by stating that:

“Transmission of vaccine preventable diseases in healthcare settings has the potential to cause serious illness and avoidable deaths in staff, patients and other users of the health system as well as others in the community. This policy directive provides a framework for immunisation and screening of health care workers, other clinical personnel and students to minimise the risk of transmission of these diseases.”

The Policy goes on:

“Health services must establish systems to ensure that staff, new recruits, students and other clinical personnel are assessed, screened and vaccinated against the infectious diseases specified in this policy directive.” And:

“All persons who are unable to be vaccinated due to temporary or permanent medical contraindications are required to provide evidence of their circumstances (for example, a letter from their general practitioner or treating specialist), and may be required to undergo a further medical assessment by an appropriate medical specialist. In the case of new recruits, other clinical personnel and students, the medical assessment, if required, will be at the person’s own cost. All information and documentation concerning the person’s medical contraindication will be treated confidentially. If a further medical assessment is required, the person will not be

---
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allowed to undertake any clinical placements or commence duties until they have undergone the medical assessment.

“Persons with medical contraindications should be risk managed in accordance with the risk management frameworks in Table 3.7.1 below. Persons with temporary medical contraindications must be reviewed after the conclusion of the contraindication or another appropriate period of time, to determine appropriate management strategies.

“Health services must ensure that existing staff who are not fully protected against the specified infectious diseases in this policy directive, or who have not been screened for TB (where indicated), do not work in areas or with client groups (1 see note below) where they may be at risk or pose a risk of infection to at-risk groups, as described in Table 3.1.1 High risk client groups/clinical areas. Such staff must be reassigned to areas of lower risk (2 see note below). Reassignment of these staff should be undertaken within appropriate personnel/industrial relations framework(s).”

So that’s clear, then. Staff who cannot be vaccinated will be reassigned to low-risk areas.

Shelly Stocken has researched National, State and Territory policies regarding the immunisation of health workers as a condition of employment. Nowhere did she find anything that suggests that health workers or students will lose their job or university place because they refuse to be vaccinated.

Health Departments and Universities might not offer a job or a university place if evidence of vaccination is not produced, and this must be done prior to commencing the job or course. Shelly reports that she never found a shred of evidence to support the idea that, once you’re employed or have commenced your course, your job or place can be taken away. Rather, every policy she found states that the employer has a Duty of Care to the worker who refuses vaccination, and the right to place that worker or student in a position where they are not at risk of contracting or passing on a vaccine-preventable disease.

NSW: http://bit.ly/gTjxC6

Queensland Health said it slightly differently, but still there is no “Draconian Policy.” In fact, it is more “wimpish” than “Draconian.”
"As new staff are recruited into Queensland Health there is a condition of employment for staff whose role involves the possible or actual risk of being exposed to blood or body fluids to be vaccinated against Hepatitis B. This requirement for Hepatitis B vaccination is not applicable to any existing Queensland Health staff although continues to be encouraged for any staff who are not vaccinated against Hepatitis B.

“For your information I have included the link to the current Queensland Health policy 28 for vaccinations for all healthcare workers. Please note this policy is currently under review.”

“You will note there is a number of vaccine preventable diseases that we recommend staff be protected against particularly where they work in areas with high risk patient groups eg. neonates, cancer patients.”

To any rational person this is only common sense, based on the bleeding obvious. It is based on research that shows the large number of hospital-acquired infections are usually spread by healthcare workers. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimate that in the USA roughly 1.7 million hospital-associated infections, from all types of microorganisms, including bacteria, combined, cause or contribute to 99,000 deaths each year.29

So, if a student is not vaccinated, they cannot do the required practical assessments in clinical settings, and cannot qualify for the degree. However if they miss the practicals, then they must catch up on the assessment/therapy some other way, and that is catered for in the Policy. As for employment, if an existing staff member can not be vaccinated, they will be redeployed to a safer area. There is no reason to think that an employee who simply refuses vaccination will be treated any differently. Note that this is the latest version of the Policy, the existing staff section is unchanged from the previous Policy – one could always be exempted but could not work in high risk areas such as children’s ward or ICU. The senior person in NSW Health responsible for vaccination Policy has confirmed that she knows nothing about this supposed “Draconian Health Policy.”

This policy is fulfilling two important aspects of safety – that of OH&S (safe workplace) and patient safety (safe health facility environment). Nothing more, nothing less, and certainly not Draconian.

ITEM 24 - THE POLICY THAT HAS JUST BEEN INTRODUCED.

In an email newsletter dated Tuesday, 29 March 2011 6:22 PM Meryl Dorey says:

“I am especially interested in speaking with people studying in healthcare-related subjects who are going to be affected by the compulsory vaccination policy just introduced...” (my emphasis).

She is referring to the supposed compulsory vaccination policy as described above. As discussed above at great length, no compulsory vaccination policy has been introduced, “just recently” or at any other time. The “just introduced” part is false; the abovementioned policy is the reviewed and updated policy. Policy review and updating occurs on a regular basis to ensure that current best practice and evidence is reflected in what are mandatory guiding principles for staff.

Evaluation: Lie.

ITEM 25 - “NO SERIOUS BREACHES OF THE CHARITABLE FUNDRAISING ACT”

In an Email “Updated news - Australian Vaccination Network” dated 15 November 2011, Meryl Dorey said:

“Despite a very intense auditing process, the OLGR (NSW Office of Liquor Gaming and Racing) was unable to use fundraising or fiscal irregularities as an excuse to revoke our fundraising authority. They admitted that there were no serious breaches of the Act nor was there any evidence of fraud or other illegal activities. “

The Office of Liquor gaming and Racing said no such thing. A reading of their Report 30 on their audit of the AVN found 25 breaches of the Charitable Fundraising Act, which attract penalties of $25,500 in fines and five years jail, and another four breaches of the Charitable Trusts Act - (with the penalties not shown, as that falls to another department’s jurisdiction).

Evaluation: Lie

ITEM 26 - UNABLE TO FIND AN AUDITOR

Meryl Dorey informed OLGR that the AVN was unable to find an auditor between July 2 2007 and June 2 2009. She has told the Lismore "Northern Star" the same.

"The "Northern Star" article "AVN seeking legal advice" (16th October 2010), 31 says:

"It is understood that between July 2, 2007 and June 2, last year (2009), the AVN was without authorisation to fundraise.

"Ms Dorey admits this was true but claimed the OLGR was aware of the AVN's fundraising status.

" 'During this time we were unable to find an auditor,' Ms Dorey said.

"It took the AVN 12 months to find an auditor and then another year before the audit was conducted because the AVN was put at the bottom of the new auditor's work pile, Ms Dorey claims."

However, in the Feb. 2009 issue of "Living Wisdom" Mrs. Dorey said, in an appeal for donations:

"The AVN has now reached a crisis point and it's up to you to decide whether or not we are able to continue to provide these services. Our auditors have told us that they have serious concerns about our financial status and our ability to continue as a viable entity....." 32

So, Meryl Dorey said that she had been unable to find an auditor between July 2 2007 and June 2 2009, but referred to her auditor's advice of February 2009.

EVALUATION: Lie.

ITEM 27 - THE WAKEFIELD STUDY

In an article titled “Is Measles Vaccination a risk factor for inflammatory bowel disease?” Dorey is still publishing the Lancet's paper written by Andrew Wakefield and others bagging the Measles vaccine. The paper 33 was retracted over a year ago, Wakefield was struck off

32 http://archive.constantcontact.com/fs082/1101800214009/archive/1102466843383.html
33 Is Measles Vaccination a risk factor for inflammatory bowel disease?
the UK Medical register, and the study was found based on fraud. Yet Dorey still uses it to denigrate the MMR vaccine.

**Evaluation:** Lie or incompetence.

**ITEM 28 - WE HAVE A 95% VACCINATION RATE BUT A RECORD INCREASE IN PERTUSSIS**

This is one that Meryl Dorey uses often. See this email she sent to the ABC prior to a radio interview.

“From: Meryl Dorey
Date: 4 September 2009 8:49:40 AM AEST
To: fitzpatrick.janine@abc.net.au
Subject: Information on whooping cough incidence / vaccination rates
Dear Janine,
I just wanted you to have this information prior to my interview this morning because I will be referring to it in my talk. As I told Katya (not sure if I’m spelling that properly so apologies if it’s wrong), I want to keep this on a scientific level – and avoid emotional issues and personal accusations.

A summary of the following information is that in 1991, Australia had a whooping cough vaccination rate of 71.6% and 318 cases reported nationwide. Last year (2008), for the first time, our whooping cough vaccination rate was in excess of 95% – the stage at which we have been told the disease will disappear. Instead, we had 14,522 cases last year – the highest on record – and this year is already over 19,000 without any decline in vaccination.

So blaming the unvaccinated for these deaths and for the record outbreak is ignoring the fact that more people are vaccinating then (sic) ever before and we have seen an increase in incidence of 40 times sine (sic) 1991.

Thanks so much,
Meryl”

Dorey has made the mistake here of confusing national rates with local rates. Of course overall rates are high and at the same time the incidence of whooping cough is high, because in some localities, vaccination rates have plummeted, and that is where the illness occurs. (The introduction of much more convenient pathology test has probably accounted for some of the increase in diagnoses.) See this presentation of vaccination rates according to Local Government Areas.

---

34 [http://www.bmj.com/content/342/bmj.c5347](http://www.bmj.com/content/342/bmj.c5347)
35 Cosmos Magazine, “Danger zones” by Fiona MacDonald, 16 September 2010
She also provided this statistical chart to substantiate her claim that in "1991, Australia had a whooping cough vaccination rate of 71.6% and 318 cases reported nationwide."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diphtheria/Tetanus</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polio</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>(b)/22</td>
<td>(b)/22</td>
<td>84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>(b)/20</td>
<td>(b)/20</td>
<td>84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubella</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIB(d)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that none of the figures provided relate to 1991. There are 1995 figures in which the "1991 schedule" applied but the vaccination rate that year was just 68%. However, the 71.6% figure does appear in the year 2001.

Dorey then went on to use these statistics in her radio interview on ABC Mid North Coast “Mornings” Program, 4 September 2009. Naturally, listeners spotted the misuse of statistics and complained to the ABC. The ABC Complaints Unit investigated and reported as follows:
EVALUATION: An independent investigator found Dorey’s use of these statistics to be incompetent and misleading.

ITEMS 29 TO 39 - “VACCINES HAVE NEVER BEEN TESTED” AND NINE OTHERS.

In a document published 21 August 2009, titled “ALL Deaths are Tragedies,” in which she defended her behaviour to the parents of a child who had died of Pertussis, Dorey said:

“.... we need to devote government funds into doing the basic research into the safety and effectiveness of vaccines - research which in over 200 years of vaccination, has never been done.”

In the on-line magazine “Nourished”, 37 Dorey made the astonishing claim that:

“Vaccines have never been tested.”

“The gold standard of medical science is the double blind crossover placebo study. This test has never been performed on any vaccine currently licensed in Australia. In an astounding leap of logic, contrary to all rules of science, vaccines are assumed to

---

36 [http://www.abc.net.au/contact/upheld/s2739849.htm](http://www.abc.net.au/contact/upheld/s2739849.htm) accessed 16 April 2011.

be safe and effective and therefore, it is considered to be unethical to withhold vaccinations for the purposes of testing them.”

Dorey still makes the same claim on the AVN website in an article “10 Reasons why parents question vaccination” 38

Now, this is simply untrue. We checked the PubMed 39 website and found 7,648 research papers published there concerning vaccine safety, and found 13,726 papers concerning efficacy. If we can find them in 2 minutes, why can’t Dorey?

This canard was well and truly demolished in the HCCC report on pages 5 TO 13. The HCCC reported:

“The statement that ‘Vaccines have never been tested’ is incorrect. In Australia, all vaccines currently available must pass safety testing before being approved by the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) - the body that makes the final decision about the safety of new treatments, medicine and devices.

In Australia, clinical trials are regulated by:

• The National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Research Involving Humans, Good Clinical Practice.
• Note for Guidance on Good Clinical Practice (CPMP/ICH/135/95).
• The Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research reported vaccine reactions are monitored by the TGA. Other organisations are involved in the monitoring of vaccine safety, including the manufacturers of the vaccines.

Vaccines are not tested by means of double blind crossover placebo studies, where the researchers and subjects of the study do not know which treatment is being given to the subjects.

“To perform this kind of study for a vaccine, half the subjects would be given the vaccine and the other half a placebo - meaning that those who received the placebo would be at risk from exposure to the disease. Additionally, if the ‘crossover’ element of the study were to occur halfway through the study, the entire group would have been exposed to the vaccine, negating the capacity of the study to accurately measure the long-term safety of the vaccine.

“The AVN does not explain the reasons why double blind crossover placebo studies are not generally conducted for vaccines. The AVN’s information on vaccine testing thus gives the general impression that vaccines are not adequately tested and are simply ‘assumed to be safe and effective. If the AVN were providing a complete picture with regard to vaccine testing, it should say that vaccines are tested in

39 PubMed is a service of the U.S. National Library of Medicine and the US National Institutes of Health
thousands of people in clinical trials; that the information from these trials is used in combination with longitudinal studies of vaccinated and non-vaccinated groups; and that all vaccines are monitored for safety.”

The HCCC analysed all 10 of these “reasons” and comprehensively demolished them. Yet Dorey continues to publish them, in spite of being sent the report by the HCCC in July 2010.

**Evaluation:** 10 bare-faced lies, and she continues with these lies.

**ITEM 40 - GOVERNMENT GAGS THE PRESS**

The background here is that a Western Australian child, Saba Button, may have been injured by a vaccine, and the parents were indicating that they may sue for compensation.

In a blog post dated April 4 2011, Dorey claimed that the Western Australian government had gagged the media. She said:

(Some text has been left out here, but you are welcome to read the entire document at [http://avn.org.au/nocompulsoryvaccination/?p=994](http://avn.org.au/nocompulsoryvaccination/?p=994))

---

“With television coverage on Channel 9 and newspaper articles in a major West Australian newspaper, this story seemed ready to go national.

“Enter the WA government which proceeded to put pressure on newspapers, leading to the story being pulled by several websites where it had previously been listed. The excuse given – legal issues.”

Dorey provided only hearsay evidence that the WA government had exerted any pressure on newspapers, and went on to claim, without any evidence at all, that the story had been pulled from several media websites. *Sub Judice* rules do not apply here as that applies only when a jury has been empanelled, and legal action has not commenced. So where did Dorey get the idea that the “WA government which proceeded to put pressure on newspapers, leading to the story being pulled.............”?

It is not difficult to check her allegation that the story had been pulled. Thanks to the magic of Google, any klutz can do it. So on 5 April 2011, the day after Dorey’s claim, a Google search for the term “Saba + Button + vaccination” yielded 214,000 hits, including 18 media articles on the first two pages, including 15 articles referring to WA politicians calling for compensation for the family.

So what of Dorey’s allegation that the WA government put pressure on newspapers, leading to the story being pulled?

**Evaluation**: You guessed it, it’s a lie.

But let’s not stop there. I challenged Dorey as follows on the blog:
“Shotinfo” is a pseudonym often used by Meryl Dorey. Note that my comment never made it past “awaiting moderation.” There’s no chance that any of Dorey’s readers will be acquainted with the facts.

Another correspondent, “Lisa” made the same challenge, saying:

“You wrote ‘Enter the WA government which proceeded to put pressure on newspapers, leading to the story being pulled by several websites where it had previously been listed. The excuse given – legal issues.’

“You wrote in pretty vague terms about ‘the story’ (implying ‘the story’ in general) and you implied that the government is trying to suppress ‘the story’, including the matter of legal action.”
“‘The story” continues to appear on many major websites, and evidence of that has been amply provided in a number of links above.

“Much as you would like it to be, it’s not being covered up.”

“Lisa” and others made posts with links to six media stories on this issue, but Dorey has made no effort to correct this demonstrably untrue allegation. Here’s another post pointing out to Dorey that she got it wrong:

This one did not lead to any correction either.

Evaluation: Continuing to lie.

To be kind, it is possible that Dorey made an error when the story first disappeared. In the beginning of this sorry episode, the story’s link went to this page.

The story has indeed disappeared from that link, and one of the reasons of five suggested in this default page is “the article was removed to comply with a legal order”. But the story has re-appeared in the same publication, including a note about a story in their Sunday newspaper magazine. So the claim that the "story was pulled" might have some truth in it, but it was not pulled due to government pressure or legal proceedings. It was simply replaced with an updated version. The paper has covered the story, and this sort of updating goes on all the time.

See the following screencap made 4 days after Dorey made her allegations of the story being pulled due to government pressure:


**Evaluation:** This may have begun as an error, but Dorey’s paranoia and propensity to exaggerate and see conspiracies everywhere led her to lie, and then when Dorey was confronted with the facts, she refused to issue a correction and continued to lie.
ITEM 41 - THE JOURNALIST

Following on from the above, where I demonstrated that there was no government pressure to “pull” the story, we come to some of the evidence that Dorey provided to back it up. She claims that her “information comes from a journalist who wrote about this story. This journalist has also stated that when they tried to get information from the WA Health Dept, they were bullied (their words – not mine) and blocked.”

Now it stands to reason that if the story is demonstrably untrue, and there is absolutely no basis in it, then the existence of this “journalist” is highly doubtful.

EVALUATION: Lie.

ITEM 42 – DOCTORS ARE ONLY CONCERNED WITH THE TIME VACCINES TAKE UP

On 20 April 2011, Meryl Dorey “tweeted” the following:

and then made the following post on the AVN’s Facebook page:

The link went to a Medscape article, where the article concerned says:

“About three quarters of physicians think parents are acting responsibly when they question whether their child should be vaccinated, and roughly two thirds do not feel disrespected when parents disregard their recommendations.

“At the same time, many physicians are taking steps to protect themselves. Forty-four percent always or often require parents to sign a waiver form if they decline vaccination, and 1 in 10 always or often dismiss such families from their practice if they refuse vaccines in the primary series.”

The article discusses time constraints on doctors, shows their respect for parents’ concerns, but nowhere is there any evidence supporting Meryl Dorey’s claims that “Parents’ Vaccine Safety Concerns Dominate Office Visits – and doctors are only worried about the time this takes up!”
In the posts above, Dorey was challenged to substantiate her claim, but never did.

**Evaluation:** Misrepresentation.

**ITEM 43 - VACCINATION STATUS IS A CRITERIA FOR REPORTING**

On her Facebook page on 13 April 2011, Dorey stated that "doctors use vaccination status as a criteria for reporting infectious diseases. This has been pointed out to you with Australian government data."

Refer to National Guidelines for Public Health Units - Measles.

"As part of the case investigation, review the measles vaccination of the case."
The Measles Investigation form asks for the dose and date, verification, and if not vaccinated why not. Vaccination status does not appear in diagnostic criteria, nor in the criteria for reporting; it is simply one of the items to be investigated and reported.  

Note that Ben Paxton challenged her to substantiate her claim, and so far she has refused.

**EVALUATION:** Confused misinterpretation of the government documents, and then a refusal to substantiate or correct.

**ITEM 44 - MEASLES IS NOT A SERIOUS DISEASE**

In this post Meryl Dorey is quite clear; there’s no beating around the bush here, no sophistry, no equivocation, “Measles is NOT a serious disease”.

Real experts have quite a different view. The CDC says:

“About one out of 10 children with measles also get an ear infection, and up to one out of 20 gets pneumonia. About one out of 1,000 gets encephalitis, and one or two out of 1,000 die.

“While measles is almost gone from the United States, it still kills nearly 200,000 people each year around the world. Measles can also make a pregnant woman have a miscarriage or give birth prematurely. “

“Worldwide, there are estimated to be 10 million cases and 197,000 deaths each year. More than half of the deaths occur in India.”

---

The CDC also says:

“Measles is very rare in countries and regions of the world that are able to keep vaccination coverage high.”

EVALUATION: Bare-faced lie.

ITEM 45 - IT GETS WORSE

In the AVN blog discussion following the above Item, with posts moderated by Meryl Dorey and with many posts by her, we find this little gem:

The name “Dana Tierney” is clearly a pseudonym, and I don’t know the real name of the poster. It is clearly intended to taunt Dorey’s critics, one of whom is Peter Tierney, and uses the first name of baby Dana McCaffery, who died of Pertussis in 2009. Dorey’s blog is heavily moderated; nothing gets through unless it is approved by her personally. For a comment with the name ‘Dana Tierney’ to be published continues the harassment of the McCaffery family, the abuse of their dead baby, and manipulation of one of her strongest critics.

EVALUATION: To use Dana’s name is clearly wicked, to allow the post past “moderation” on to her blog for all the world to see is simply evil.

ITEM 46 - THE CLEAN BILL OF HEALTH

This advertisement appeared in the April 2011 edition of the magazine “Living Now.”

Notice the claim that the AVN has “been given a clean bill of health in all investigations.” That may be Meryl Dorey’s reading of the reports, but back here on Planet Earth;

- The HCCC report itemised 19 instances of Dorey’s dishonesty in her anti-vaccination propaganda. 47

- The NSW Office of Liquor gaming and Racing Report itemised 29 items, some of which attract penalties of $25,000 and 2 years imprisonment. 48

Evaluation: A real whopper of a lie,

ITEM 47 - CALLS FOR ARREST AND INCARCERATION.

In this post on her AVN Facebook page Dorey made the claim on 8 April 2011 07:55 am, that our Facebook Group “Stop the AVN” “are not only vilifying those who have made informed choices for their own children, they are calling for their arrest, incarceration and financial penalties due to the vaccinated getting the disease.”

Dorey’s post was made at 0755, at about the same time I made the comment elsewhere that "It is only a matter of time until neglectful parents and their fruitloop advisers go to trial for damages."

There was no call “for their arrest, incarceration” (although financial penalties were mentioned.) We have never made any comments vilifying non-vaccinating parents, or calling for their arrest and incarceration. A recent Gold Coast Bulletin article was quite critical of their “selfishness,” but there was no call for arrest and incarceration there either; nor did such a statement appear in the online public comments. 49

Evaluation: Through deliberate misrepresentation of what was said, Dory lied.

ITEMS 48 TO 56 - NINE MORE BREACHES OF COPYRIGHT

This was detected on the Apple iTunes page on 16 April 2011:

This is a list of recordings of radio station interviews with Meryl Dorey and several other people, including me. Without the knowledge of the other interviewees or the radio stations, Dorey has posted these recordings on iTunes for download. This is a clear breach of copyright law. See the copyright notice on the left of the webpage:

Readers may recall that Dorey’s propensity for breaching copyright law was exposed by the Sydney Morning Herald on September 1 2010, “Copyright breaches land group in trouble” by Kate Benson.

In that article, Dorey

“.... said she was unaware she had breached copyright but accepted there had been problems with her licence.

"We've made mistakes but they've been honest mistakes. They've been out of ignorance rather than fraudulence," she said.

In “Meryl Dorey’s Trouble With the Truth – Part 1” I showed how it was impossible for Dorey to be unaware of copyright law. Since the Herald interviewed Dorey and printed this article, it is even more untenable for Dorey to claim she was unaware of copyright law, yet here she goes again, breaching that law all over again.

EVALUATION: Sheer arrogance and disregard for the law.

ITEM 57 – AND WHILE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT COPYRIGHT......

Readers may notice that in this document there are screencaps (photographs) of other web pages and documents. These are made pursuant to the Australian Copyright Act and the US Digital Millennium Copyright Act Title 17, both of which allow reproduction for the purposes of reporting, criticism, review, research, satire, and so on, all covered by the generic term “fair use”.

My colleagues and I, members of a Facebook Group called “Stop the AVN” have been making screencaps of the more interesting and bizarre posts made by Meryl Dorey elsewhere, and posting then on the Facebook Wall. This is a common procedure across the Internet, using such tools as Microsoft’s Snipping Tool. Our reproductions conform to the legislation, and are perfectly legal. To be absolutely clear, there is no breach of copyright here.

Over the last few months, we have been receiving notices from Facebook Head Office that these posts had been the subject of complaints from Meryl Dorey, and had been removed. On the weekend of 30 April and 1 May 2011, many of our screencaps suddenly disappeared and we were deluged in takedown notices from Facebook. Two people had their Facebook accounts closed.

On 2 May 2011 Meryl Dorey published a document on the Web where she announced that she had “filed approximately 50 copyright claims against various Facebook members for using screenshots of my posts without my permission.”

51 Hypocrisy – thy name is ‘SAVN’ May 2nd, 2011 accessed 3 May 2011
Now let us be clear here; we do not need her permission to reproduce her material, and we have not breached copyright law. Her intention was to remove her documents from public scrutiny and silence her critics. At the risk of boring readers to tears with a turgid rendition of United States Law, the US Digital Millennium Copyright Act Title 17 § 107 Section 107 sets out several factors to be considered in determining whether or not a particular use is fair, and the relevant considerations here are:

1. The material in question is not copyrighted.

2. Dorey provided no copyright registration information or other tangible evidence that the material in question is in fact copyrighted, and we had good faith belief that it was not. The allegation of copyright violation is therefore unsupported.

3. Our use of the material is legally protected because it falls within the "fair use" provision of the copyright regulations, as defined in Title 17 USC 107. The "fair use" provisions allow reproduction for the purposes of reporting, criticism, review, research, satire, and so on, and our posts conformed to the US and Australian Acts. If Dorey disagrees that this is fair use, she must work directly with the publishers, (us), through legally viable channels, to resolve the dispute. Ms Dorey and the AVN have never contacted us directly to make us aware of their concerns, although they do have our postal, Facebook, and email addresses. Their only aim is to prevent free speech, silence their critics, and to prevent the Australian public from becoming aware of their (bizarre) views.

4. Dorey is a mendacious and vexatious complainant in that Ms Dorey is embarrassed to have been exposed as being dishonest and/or engaging in breaches of Australian legislation via these posts, and is attempting to prevent evidence of that being published. She is attempting to prevent many documents from being published.

5. None of the material objected to by Ms Dorey has any commercial value, nor will it ever have any value in future.

6. MS Dorey and the AVN will appear in two Australian Courts in the near future, and suppressing this material is aimed at limiting what may be made available to the media and the Courts under the Discovery process. (The New South Wales Supreme Court case full hearing is expected to take place on 10 June 2011. The other case is the AVN vs the Minister for Gaming and Racing in the New South Wales Administrative Decisions Tribunal expected to be later in June 2011.)

**Evaluation:** 50 vexatious and mendacious copyright complaints to Facebook. Quite an achievement.
ITEM 58 - THE COURT DECIDED THAT VACCINES ARE UNSAFE PRODUCTS

This post was made on 22 April 2011. Criticising a judgement in a court case, not in Australia, but in the USA. Note Meryl Dorey’s words “... the Court decided that since vaccines are unavoidably unsafe products which are also 'necessary' for the health of the population....”

It is difficult to imagine how anyone could make a statement which is such a gross misrepresentation of the Court Case and the article reporting it in the New England Journal of Medicine. The first words of the article by Aaron Kesselheim, M.D., J.D., M.P.H. are:

“On February 22, 2011, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in Bruesewitz v. Wyeth that vaccine makers are immune from lawsuits charging that the design of a vaccine is defective.”

Further on the article summarises the Court’s judgement:

“Writing for the majority in a six-to-two decision, Justice Antonin Scalia concluded that the NCVIA provided no options for plaintiffs who set aside the vaccine court’s determination, unless they could argue that the vaccine was poorly manufactured or accompanied by improper warnings.”

Nowhere in the judgement or the article is there any mention of vaccines being “unavoidably unsafe products”. 54

Evaluation: deliberate misrepresentation.

52 http://healthpolicyandreform.nejm.org/?p=14229&query=TOC
54 Spotted by Carol Calderwood, Martin Smith, and Wendy Wilkinson.
ITEM 59 - WORDS FAIL ME

In this Yahoo message, Dorey says of baby Dana McCaffery, who died of Whooping Cough in March 2009:

“Isn’t it incredible how they have made Dana into a martyr because she supposedly died from (sic) whooping cough.........?”

Now this post was made 13 months after she phoned the CEO of the Area Health Service (on 12 March 2009) demanding all details of the baby’s illness, the diagnostic procedures used, and death, and had been assured that the diagnosis had been confirmed by laboratory pathology tests. Yet she still maintains publicly that baby Dana “supposedly” died of Whooping Cough.

EVALUATION: A denial of established fact and callous disregard for the family that is downright evil.

SO, TO GET THIS ALL OVER WITH.

This document is one of many that show that Meryl Dorey is deluded and dishonest. In chronological order;

- The HCCC report itemised 19 instances of dishonesty.
- Tom Sidwell’s analyses of Meryl Dorey’s references and citations listed 22 items of dishonesty.
- The NSW Office of Liquor Gaming and Racing Report itemised 29 items.
My document “Meryl Dorey’s Trouble With The Truth Part 1” listed 22 items.

This document lists 59 items of dishonesty, hypocrisy, arrogance, delusion, and pure evil.

After deducting some items to avoid double-counting, that totals 142 items of dishonesty. Now any ordinary person would blush being caught telling just one little fib, even if the fib was in response to the question “Does my bum look big in this?”

On the contrary, Meryl Dorey has no shame. She reels these lies and delusions off ad infinitum, which raises the question; “why does she do it?” In response, there is no doubt that she sincerely believes that vaccines are dangerous, but that does not permit her to lie so persistently. Sincerity is no excuse for dishonesty. The real reason lies much deeper in her psyche, and that is much too scary a place for me to go. Suffice is to say she is a crank, and a lying crank at that, with a tenuous grasp of reality.

But does it matter? Does it really matter that some deluded crank tells lies about vaccines? Well yes it does; wherever Meryl Dorey is most active, vaccination rates drop, and the consequence is suffering, death, and a drain on our taxes.

What will you do about it?
Australia’s Big Brother Card:

Coming your way!

By Meryl Dorey

In the 1980’s when it was originally proposed to introduce an Australia Card that would give each citizen a number, people were up in arms. They felt, and rightly so, that this would be a gross invasion of privacy and rejected the notion of this sort of government interference quite firmly. At that time, the Health Insurance Commission, which was to administer the Australia Card, stated that, "It will be important to minimize any adverse public reaction to implementation of the system. One possibility would be to use a staged approach for implementation, whereby only less sensitive data are held in the system initially with the facility to input additional data at a later stage when public acceptance may be forthcoming more readily.”

That later stage is now! A reliable source in Federal Parliament has informed the AVN that legislation is now pending to provide every Australian man, woman and child with a Health Smart Card. The government intends to pass this legislation before the Christmas break this year.

What is a Smart Card, Anyway?

A smart card is basically a credit card sized card using an integrated microprocessor which can store an incredible amount of personal identifying information. What separates a smart card from the standard magnetic swipe card (like the present Medicare Card or a charge card), is the amount of information that it can hold and the use to which it will ultimately be put. The smart card has the potential to store sensitive data about the individual and there are no guarantees that this data will be either kept private or used for the purposes to which we have been told they will be put – whatever those purposes are, we have not yet been told.

How will they work?

There are two types of technology available for smart cards; contact and contactless.

With contact cards, the magnetic strip on the back of the card is swiped over a card reader which reads the information and identifies the card holder. The sorts of information that can be contained on a smart card are almost limitless. They can tell who you are, where you live, when you were at the doctor or hospital last, how many children you have, what your income and profession are, whether or not your children are vaccinated, what medications you are on, etc.

The contactless card contains the same information, but rather than having to swipe the card over a reader, this information can be accessed from a distance. Short range cards cannot operate over distances much longer than a millimetre or so. The long range cards however, use radio signals to operate and their maximum range is much greater. Certain cities such as Hong Kong, Washington D.C., and Manchester, England have trialled the use of these longer-range, contactless smart cards for mass transit purposes.

Imagine the implications of such a card being used by you and your family. What is to prevent smart card readers being placed in doorways of supermarkets, shopping centres and the like to gather information on you
and your family – your habits and your movements.

What about privacy?

The legislation to ensure that your privacy will be protected with the use of these cards is woefully inadequate. There is no constitutional right of privacy in Australia as there would be in other countries including the US. Our only protection is from the Privacy Act of 1988 which was so badly emasculated before its passage as to be almost useless. For a detailed analysis of this act and the privacy implications of health smart cards and other computerised tracking devices, please read the excellent study by Roger Clark, visiting fellow in Computer Technology at the Australian National University. The Australian Privacy Act 1988 as an Implementation of the OECD Data Protection Guidelines can be found at http://www.anu.edu.au/people/Roger.Clarke/DV/Popular.html

Consider these possibilities: you go into a Medicare office to file a claim after visiting a doctor. The operator behind the counter will pass your smart card before a reader and be able to bring up your complete medical history. Did you have a sexually transmitted disease at one point in your life? They will know it. Have you ever had treatment for the use of illicit drugs? They will know it! Are your children unvaccinated? They will know it!

Picture this scenario. Your child is playing in the back yard. They trip and fall over, giving themselves a nasty cut. You feel that it may need stitching so you rush them into the local hospital. The hospital asks for your smart card – just like they used to ask for your medicare card. Passing it over the reader, they check your child’s vaccination status and discover that they are not vaccinated or that their vaccinations are not up to date. What will happen then, do you think? Will the doctor come out with all guns blazing to condemn you for being an ignorant and abusive parent? Will the Department of Family Services be contacted to check up on your family life since anyone who does not vaccinate must be a child abuser (don’t scoff – this has already happened to at least one family in Australia that we know of!).

Another possible scenario is that you are applying for a job and are asked to present your health smart card to the company’s human resources department along with your application. The department head checks out your past and finds that you have a recessive gene which makes it more likely that you will develop diabetes or a heart condition in the future. He also sees that you have had several illnesses in the last two years and decides from looking at this, that you will be a poor risk as an employee. If he draws that conclusion, you can bet that most other employers will also. Say goodbye to employment and hello to the dole!

Injected Chips?

To me, the scariest thing about the health smart card, is that it is only the beginning. The next and most logical step is the use of microchips which will contain all of the same information contained on smart cards but which will be injected into us and read and updated from a distance.

Now, before you start to think that this would never happen and that it’s all a bit too much like science fiction, be aware that as of January 1999, the NSW State Government has mandated that all domestic animals be injected with a microchip which would identify them. Pet owners don’t have a choice – they must do this by law or face fines.

And how are these chips being put into the family dog or cat? Why, through their vaccines, of course. These microscopic chips are nothing more than contactless health smart cards.
How long will it be before you or your child receive this “gift” from the government? They will sell it to us as a gift too. You will no longer have to worry about robbery because nobody will be carrying cash – this chip will contain your bank details so you can pass your hand over a reader and have the amount of your purchase automatically deducted from your account. Your child will never have to worry about getting lost because they will have an indelible identification mark which would have been inserted at birth. It’s all so exciting, don’t you think?

Where are we starting to see these cards?

The insidious thing is that we are starting to see these cards being used in schools. This has occurred with the introduction of the Munchiecard in South Australian school canteens.

"Munchiecard™ is a smartcard that has been developed for schools by the Children’s Health Development Foundation, of the Women’s and Children’s Hospital, Adelaide, South Australia.

...Using the card will give school children the opportunity to experience the application of advanced technology that will be part of their future. (ed note - our children are being prepared for what is assumed to be the inevitable invasion of technology into their lives)

Q. Are there any records kept of transactions using Munchiecard?
A. Each card has an identifying number. Your school may wish to record the card number issued to each child, so they can be returned to the owner if found. There is no other information involved. Its just like using cash.

So, why do we need these smart cards?

The rationale behind the use of a health smart card is the same as that behind the original Australia Card, namely:

- To provide a central register which would contain information about those members of the population who were registered.
- To use a unique identifying number or code for each individual who is registered.
- A unique, multi-purpose card would be issued by the Health Insurance Commission.
- The obligation would be on the individual to produce the card when dealing with financial transactions, social security, and taxation, all employers and financial institutions, hospitals, real estate agents and produce agents.
- Obligations will be inherent on organisations to demand the card and apply sanctions to people who fail to produce it.
- The card will be used as a local and international identifier.

What may happen next?

Dr. Roger Clark has written extensively on the methods available for what he calls dataveillance (a combination of data and surveillance) and about the role of the health smart card in this procedure. He says that, "Of especial concern is the threat to personal privacy that the general-purpose use of an inhabitant registrant scheme represents. It is speculated that, where such schemes are pursued energetically, the reaction may be strong enough to threaten the social fabric."

Whether or not that proves to be the case, there is no doubt that the government is pushing ahead with their attempts to track and classify all of us - and our children to.

What are you going to do about it? You can sit back and let it happen, or you can raise your voice up and say that enough is enough and you and your family are entitled to the basic privacy that everyone in a democratic society deserves.

Say no to smart cards by writing to your local federal member of parliament. Call the Serjeant at Arm’s Office (House of Representatives) and the Office of the Black Rod (Senate) on 02 6277 7111. Ask to get the contact information for all Senators and Members of Parliament which they will be happy to send to you. Then, you will have easy access to who you need to address your letter to. Ask your member to forward a copy of your letter to the Minister and Shadow Minister for Social Security.

Contact the Privacy Committee, Level 8, Goodsell Building, 8 - 12 Chifley Square, SYDNEY NSW 2000, 02
9228 8199 (phone) 02 9288 8187 (FAX); send a copy of your letter to Ms. Moira Scollay, Privacy Commissioner's Office, GPO Box 5218 SYDNEY NSW 1042 Hotline - 1300 363 992 (toll free) Fax - (02) 9284 9666 http://www.privacy.gov.au.

In your letter, explain that you are most concerned about any attempts to use a health smart card. That you fear that this will mean a loss of your privacy and that you will refuse to use one and you want to know what the privacy commissioner is going to do about this situation.

You must act now – there is no other way.
Australian Vaccination Network

Hi all,

Here is how it works - and I know it is very confusing.

1- Current financial members (eg anyone who was a member of the AVN prior to the 20th of October when our charity status was revoked) are able to renew their memberships and give donations that is not a problem.

2- Anyone who was not already a financial member on the 20th of October cannot become a member or donate at this time. They can however, buy books and subscribe to our magazine and we would really appreciate it if they would.

Subscriptions start at $50 a year for the digital magazine and that is perfect if you live outside of Australia (no postage) or if you like to read online or with an Ipad or similar reader or on your computer screen. If anyone has never read an online publication, please visit www.zinio.com and you will be able to download several magazines for free to see what that is like. Personally, I love it because my eyesight is not good (probably too many years of sitting in front of a computer...) and I can increase the text size. But try it for yourself and see.

Hard copy subscriptions start at $75 a year and there are discounts for multiple year subscriptions.

We also have professional subscriptions that include both the hard copy and digital magazines as well as a line ad in each magazine and on our website. If you have a health practice or a business that you would like to have listed in this way, please click here: http://avn.org.au/index.php?option=com_zoo2&Itemid=250 - to see what those are like. If you are looking for a health practitioner who has experience in dealing with the vaccination issue - click there as well and tell them you found them via the AVN website. Many offer discounts to AVN supporters.

If you want to read more, please click here and realise that when it tells you to STOP - that is only if you are trying to become a member and you are not already. http://shop.avn.org.au/categories/Subscriptions-%7B47%7D-Memberships/

If someone knows of a current financial member and they want to give a donation via that member - I don’t see that there is anything illegal about that - but I don’t want to know about it - as long as the donation comes from a current member, then we are covered :-(

MD

about an hour ago · 3 people.
Australian Vaccination Network

Hi all,

Here is how it works - and I know it is very confusing.

1- Current financial members (eg anyone who was a member of the AVN prior to the 20th of October when our charity status was revoked) are able to renew their memberships and give donations - that is not a problem.

2- Anyone who was not already a financial member on the 20th of October cannot become a member or donate at this time. They can, however, buy books and subscribe to our magazine and we would really appreciate it if they would.

Subscriptions start at $50 a year for the digital magazine and that is perfect if you live outside of Australia (no postage) or if you like to read online or with an iPad or similar reader or on your computer screen. If anyone has never read an online publication, please visit www.zinio.com and you will be able to download several magazines for free to see what that is like. Personally, I love it because my eyesight is not good (probably too many years of sitting in front of a computer…) and I can increase the text size. But try it for yourself and see.

Hard copy subscriptions start at $75 a year and there are discounts for multiple year subscriptions.

We also have professional subscriptions that include both the hard copy and digital magazines as well as a line ad in each magazine and on our website. If you have a health practice or a business that you would like to have listed in this way, please click here – http://avn.org.au/index.php?option=com_308a2&Itemid=250 - to see what those are like. If you are looking for a health practitioner who has experience in dealing with the vaccination issue - click there as well and tell them you found them via the AVN website. Many offer discounts to AVN supporters.

If you want to read more, please click here and realise that when it tells you to STOP - that is only if you are trying to become a member and you are not already. - http://shop.avn.org.au/categories/Subscriptions-%7B47%7D-Memberships/

If someone knows of a current financial member and they want to give a donation via that member - I don’t see that there is anything illegal about that - but I don’t want to know about it - as long as the donation comes from a current member, then we are covered :)
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