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If Living Wisdom was actually being delivered to people who paid for it, then it might be truthful to include a mention here, but as only one of the last six promised issues has appeared it can probably be classed as an untruth.
The Choice is Yours

1- Not compulsory for school, preschool or childcare
2- No loss of financial entitlements
3- No requirement for most jobs
4- School exclusion policies

More information:

Conscientious Objector Form - http://tinyurl.com/2373hr7
Children certainly can be excluded from private childcare facilities if their parents are too irresponsible to have them vaccinated. Why would a commercial childcare operator want to risk the health of children in its care?

There seems to be a suggestion that you have a choice about school exclusion policies. Only if you lie, something which has been encouraged by the AVN in the past.

More information? From the AVN? Don’t make me laugh.

And that Conscientious Objection Form – that’s the one used by people who want to tell lies in order to get benefits that they should not be entitled to.

Expanded URL:
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Wow! No lies, and that link actually goes to the Immunisation Handbook, a publication which exposes many of the lies told by the AVN.
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History of Infectious Diseases

United States Mortality Rates

- Diphtheria Antitoxin Started Use 1894
- Diphtheria Vaccine Introduced 1920
- Measles Vaccine Introduced 1963
- Whooping Cough Vaccine Widespread Use In The Late 1940s


www.healthsentinel.com
Slides 4 to 7.

One of the constant lies told by anti-vaccination liars is to use graphs showing how deaths from vaccine-preventable diseases were decreasing before the vaccines were introduced. They know full well, because they have been told on numerous occasions, that death is not the only result of these diseases and that the reduction in deaths was due to better treatment methods being discovered.

To show these graphs is to admit to lying.
Did vaccines save us from measles?
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Did vaccines save us from meningitis?

Meningitis - Australia
All ages
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Did vaccines save us from whooping cough?

[Graph showing the decline in deaths per 100,000 population from 1870 to 1970. Key points include:
- Earlier vaccine in use
- DTP licensed
- Start of mass vaccination]
1991-71% vaccinated against whooping cough (4 doses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diphtheria/Tetanus</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pertussis(a)</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>71.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polio</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>82.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>(b) 92%</td>
<td>(b) 92%</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>(b) 90%</td>
<td>(b) 90%</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubella(c)</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>(b) 76%</td>
<td>(b) 76%</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hib(d)</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B(e)</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All above</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Combined diphtheria-tetanus vaccine (CDT) booster at 4-5 years (or prior to school entry) was replaced by diphtheria, tetanus and pertussis vaccine (DTP), August 1984.
(b) Children aged 0-12 months have been excluded from estimates for Measles, Mumps-Rubella (MMR).
(c) Vaccination against Rubella at one year of age was introduced from 1991.
(d) Requirement for vaccination against Hib was introduced into the recommended schedule in April 1993.
(e) Vaccination against Hepatitis B was introduced into the recommended schedule in May 2000.

Source: ABS 1989-90 National Health Survey; ABS 1995 Children’s Immunisation and Health Screening Survey; ABS 2001 National Health Survey.
Here we go with the 95% vaccinated lie. And why do I call it a lie? Because Ms Dorey has had it explained to her on many occasions that the 95% applies to children, not the entire population, and the problem is with children too young to be vaccinated and people old enough for immunity to have worn off.
2008-95.1% vaccinated against whooping cough (4 doses)

Table 1. Percentage of children immunised at 2 years of age, preliminary results by disease and state or territory for the birth cohort 1 January to 31 March 2006; assessment date 30 June 2008*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>ACT</th>
<th>NSW</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>Qld</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>Tas</th>
<th>Vic</th>
<th>WA</th>
<th>Australia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of children</td>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>22,941</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>14,687</td>
<td>4,608</td>
<td>1,601</td>
<td>16,598</td>
<td>7,182</td>
<td>69,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis (%)</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poliomyelitis (%)</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>95.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemophilus influenzae type b (%)</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles, mumps, rubella (%)</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>94.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B (%)</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>95.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully immunised (%)</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>92.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in fully immunised since last quarter (%)</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>+0.6</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The 12 months age data for this cohort was published in Commun Dis Intell 2007;31.333.
Vaccination and the community

Vaccination cannot prevent infection with or asymptomatic transmission of infection.


1991 – whooping cough vaccination rate – 71%
Number of cases reported Australia-Wide – 318

2009 – whooping cough vaccination rate – 95.1%
Number of cases reported Australia-Wide – 29,035

(Source – NNDSS)
Nobody has ever said that vaccination is 100% effective, although anti-vaccination liars like to suggest that doctors and other sane people say this.

The link refers to a breakout in a fully-vaccinated class of school children in Slovenia in 2004, and the reason was unknown. It is included here (and shortened to hide its source) as an attempt to suggest that such failures are commonplace. This is a form of lying.

Expanded URL:
http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=2779

Oh, and there’s the 95% lie again.
Blaming the unvaccinated

In 2008, New South Wales recorded the highest number of whooping cough cases in 17 years. The outbreaks occurred on the Central Coast, in Western Sydney, Newcastle and the Shoalhaven area on the South Coast – historically the areas with the highest vaccination rate in NSW.

http://bit.ly/b1bYZb
The words “historically the areas with the highest vaccination rate in NSW” do not occur anywhere on the web page at the shortened link. What does appear there is a clear explanation of why Ms Dorey’s 95% lie is a lie. Perhaps she should not assume that people will just take her word that something is said and will not follow links to check. Oh, and what do you call saying something and then offering a reference to show that what you say is untrue? I’d call it an admission of lying.

Expanded URL:
Blame the vaccine

Vaccination programs against whooping cough may not be fully effective because the bacteria that cause the disease have evolved new strains, a new study has found.

A team of Australian scientists has shown for the first time that two of the most common strains of the *Bordetella pertussis* bacteria in Australia have undergone significant genetic changes since 1997, according to a report of the study.

Nobody is blaming the vaccine. Scientists have discovered that organisms can change over time by adapting to environmental changes, something which has been known since Darwin publish On The Origin Of Species in 1859.

And Ms Dorey forgot to quote this paragraph from the linked page: “The death rate for babies under the age of six months who catch pertussis is one in 200, according to NSW Health, which says adults and adolescents are at particular risk of contracting whooping cough and can pass it on to babies who are too young to be immunized”.

Expanded URL:
Vaccine increases virulence of pertussis

After the deaths of five infants, California health authorities have declared an epidemic of whooping cough, also known as pertussis.

A CDC study suggests that the resurgence of whooping cough is due to the vaccine causing an increased and more virulent toxin. The CDC acknowledges that whooping cough is recurring in highly vaccinated countries -- and that it is not just because some children are unvaccinated, although that can be a factor.

A link to the cesspit of Joe Mercola’s web site for an article by professional anti-vaccination liar Barbara Loe Fisher that you have to pay to read. Good one, Ms Dorey. Unfortunately, Joe forgot to lie for a moment and quoted the CDC: “"The reemergence of pertussis has been attributed to various factors, including increased awareness, improved diagnostics, decreased vaccination coverage, suboptimal vaccines, waning vaccine-induced immunity, and pathogen adaptation ... Pathogen adaptation is supported by several observations."

So what can we call the heading on this slide “Vaccine increases virulence of pertussis”? Why, we can call it a lie.
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## Did vaccines save us from smallpox?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Percentage of Births Vaccinated</th>
<th>Smallpox Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1872-1881</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>3,708.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1891</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>933.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892-1901</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>436.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902-1911</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>395.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912-1921</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1922-1931</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1932-1941</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source – The Poisoned Needle – Eleanor McBean -
[http://www.whale.to/a/mcbean.html#CHAPTER%20II](http://www.whale.to/a/mcbean.html#CHAPTER%20II)

Smallpox vaccination and death statistics from England and Wales
Any suggestion that smallpox was not eliminated by vaccines or that it still infects people is an almost certain sign of detachment from reality. Asking the question is lying by implication.

And she references whale.to – hasn’t she heard of Scopie’s Law? “In any discussion involving science or medicine, citing Whale.to as a credible source loses you the argument immediately ... and gets you laughed out of the room”
How safe is the measles vaccine?


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deafness</th>
<th>Blindness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Encephalitis</td>
<td>Meningitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBS</td>
<td>Optic Neuritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Seizure Disorders</td>
<td>Autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritable Bowel Disease (IBD)</td>
<td>Crohn’s Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>Death</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Slide 15.

The quoted words do not appear on page 24 of Proc (sic) Roy Med Soc 1974; 67. The paper ending on that page is “Multicentric pigmented Bowen's disease” and the one starting on page 24 is “Necrolytic migratory erythema with carcinoma of pancreas”.

Did she think nobody would check?

Is that the smell of pants on fire that I detect?
Bowel Disease and MMR

**Figure 28 - Measles Vaccine & Inflammatory Bowel Diseases**

- **Unvaccinated**
- **Vaccinated**
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Wakefield. Need I say more? By the way, Ms Dorey cites the wrong pages in the journal, but what’s a little imprecision when citing someone famous for fraud?
Autism

- In a new report, VAP's co-founder, Ray Gallup, and Dr. Yazbak examine the most recent United States Department of Education statistics and reveal that the 1 in 150 estimate is outdated by five years. They report that the present prevalence of ASD may be as high as 1 in 67.


- Just over 20 years ago, autism was estimated to affect 1 child in approximately 10,000.
You can safely ignore anything said by either Ray Gallup or Dr Yazbak because they have never been known to tell the truth about anyone.

The paper cited as confirming the “1 in 38” lie compared two groups of children and found that “0.75% (95% CI=0.58–0.93) in the high-probability group” had autism spectrum disorders. 0.75% is 1 in 133. Do I detect another case of misciting something and hoping that nobody will check. By the way, one of the authors of the paper is Eric Fombonne, an object of hatred to people like Gallup, Yazbak and Dorey.

Oh, and Ms Dorey knows quite well that the diagnostic criteria for autism have changed very much over the last 20 years. Adding Asperger’s Syndrome doubled the numbers by itself, so I guess the last sentence on this slide is a lie too.
Vaccines CAN cause Autism

“The Court found that Bailey would not have suffered this delay but for the administration of the MMR vaccine...a proximate sequence of cause and effect leading inexorably from vaccination to PDD [Autism].”

— Banks vs Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services

83 Cases of Autism Associated with Childhood Vaccine Injury Compensated in Federal Vaccine Court

http://tinyurl.com/6d7u5gd
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The word “autism” was added by the liars at Generation Rescue and does not appear at that point in the Bailey Banks ruling. And as for the 83 cases of compensation – you need a better authority than a media release by anti-vaccination liar outfit Safe Minds if you want to convince people that there is any science behind what you claim.
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Be alert for side effects

High-pitched crying (encephalitic cry)

High Fever (over 39°C)

Seizures – febrile or afebrile

Encephalitis

Meningitis

Eating or sleeping disturbances

HHE – Hypotonic-hyporesponsive episode-shock/collapse
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As nobody makes any secret of possible adverse effects, including ones like baby crying or floppy baby, this a lie by suggestion. A story told to frighten parents unnecessarily.
Vaccines may be related to...

Asthma

Eczema

Autism (including ADD, ADHD, Asperger’s etc.)

Insulin-dependent diabetes

Chronic fatigue

Epilepsy

Crohn’s Disease

Permanent Brain Damage

Death
Another set of scary things mentioned to frighten parents, as if these are common events. A special mention goes to the autism lie, because many studies have shown no connection.